Protocol

Self-Management Systems for Patients and Clinicians in Parkinson Care: Protocol for an Integrated Scoping Review, Product Search, and Evaluation

Selina Boege^{1,2}, BSc, MA; Madison Milne-Ives^{1,2}, BAS, MSc; Edward Meinert^{1,2,3}, MA, MSc, MBA, MPA, MPH, CEng, EUR ING, PhD; Camille Carroll^{1,2,4}, PhD

¹Translational and Clinical Research Institute, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom

²Centre for Health Technology, University of Plymouth, Plymouth, United Kingdom

³Department of Primary Care and Public Health, School of Public Health, Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

⁴University Hospitals Plymouth NHS Trust, Plymouth, United Kingdom

Corresponding Author:

Edward Meinert, MA, MSc, MBA, MPA, MPH, CEng, EUR ING, PhD Translational and Clinical Research Institute Faculty of Medical Sciences Newcastle University Framlington Place Newcastle upon Tyne, NE2 4HH United Kingdom Phone: 44 0191 208 6000 Email: <u>e.meinert14@imperial.ac.uk</u>

Abstract

Background: Parkinson disease (PD) poses emotional and financial challenges to patients, families, caregivers, and health care systems. Self-management systems show promise in empowering people with PD and enabling more control over their treatment. The collaborative nature of PD care requires communication between patients and health care professionals. While past reviews explored self-management systems in PD diagnosis and symptom management with a focus on patient portals, there is limited research addressing the interconnectivity of systems catering to the needs of both patients and clinicians. A system's acceptability and usability for clinicians are pivotal for enabling comprehensive data collection and supporting clinical decision-making, which can enhance patient care and treatment outcomes.

Objective: This review study aims to assess PD self-management systems that include a clinician portal and to determine which features enhance acceptability and usability for clinicians. The primary aim is to assess evidence of clinicians' acceptability and usability of self-management systems with a focus on the integration of systems into clinical workflows, data collection points, monitoring, clinical decision-making support, and extended education and training.

Methods: The review will entail 3 separate stages: a literature review following the PRISMA-ScR (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews) guidelines, a product search, and an evaluation of the level of evidence for the identified products. For the first stage, 5 databases will be searched: PubMed, CINAHL, Scopus, ACM digital library, and IEEE Xplore. Studies eligible for inclusion will be qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods studies examining patients' and clinician's perceptions of the acceptability and usability of digital health interventions, synthesized by a narrative qualitative analysis. A web search in the iOS Apple App Store and Android Google Play Store will identify currently available tools; the level of evidence for these will then be assessed using the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine guidelines.

Results: Literature search and screening began soon after submission of the protocol, and the review is expected to be completed by end of September 2024.

Conclusions: This review will examine currently available self-management systems in PD care, focusing on their acceptability and usability. This is significant because there is limited research addressing the integration of clinicians into these systems. The findings from this study may provide critical knowledge and insight to help inform future research and will contribute to the design of self-management systems that promote collaborative efforts in PD care.

International Registered Report Identifier (IRRID): PRR1-10.2196/58845

(JMIR Res Protoc 2024;13:e58845) doi: 10.2196/58845

KEYWORDS

Parkinson's disease; digital health; self-management; health care systems; self-care; Parkinson; mobile health; mHeath; evaluation; acceptability; usability; decision-making support; database; qualitative; quantitative; mixed method; perception

Introduction

Parkinson disease (PD) is a complex and progressive neurological condition with no current cure. It represents the second most common neurodegenerative disorder worldwide [1], with a 30% rise in prevalence and incidence between 2018 and 2030 [2,3]. Clinicians in PD care often have limited data due to infrequent patient contact, constraining their ability to fully inform treatment decisions [4]. The diversity of symptoms experienced by people with PD means that care management is complex. Optimizing symptom management requires an understanding of current symptoms and trends, necessitating thorough communication between patients and clinicians [4,5]. Positive relationships between patients and clinicians can enhance patient trust, medication adherence, and the patients' active engagement in care, with potential benefits in mitigating health care disparities, fostering interdisciplinary collaboration, and ultimately enhancing patient outcomes [6,7]. Given the strain on health care resources and the limited regular clinical contacts, digital technologies have the potential to help patients self-manage symptoms and provide accurate records of symptoms for clinical review. Despite the availability of such systems, there is limited understanding of how they are adopted, accepted, and used within clinical contexts.

Despite advancements in digital health, many systems still fall short in effectively compiling information for clinician use at the point of care and facilitating seamless care communication [6]. Systems that combine patient self-reporting with clinician management can improve data collection and communication, leading to more efficient care, better decision-making, and ultimately enhanced patient outcomes through more timely and personalized interventions [6], [7]. Previous research has extensively examined engagement with and impact of digital tools in PD care, focusing on self-management methods to enhance physical and cognitive aspects for patients [8-18]. A comprehensive understanding of the acceptance and usability by health care professionals (HCPs) is lacking, leaving room for more inclusive research in PD care [19-28]. There is a need to understand what systems are currently available, how they integrate self-management techniques, and how clinical elements and clinicians are integrated [29-35]. This knowledge gap is particularly crucial to address, as improving clinician engagement with digital tools can lead to more effective and tailored patient care strategies.

This study aims to fill this gap by examining the state of the literature on clinician perspectives of PD self-management systems and the state of clinician-focused features in existing self-management systems. The review will summarize available systems and evidence of their acceptability and usability of self-management systems, while the product evaluation will identify active digital applications, tools, and services (eg, apps, websites, portals, and wearable devices) that support self-management for people with PD to explore what types of technologies are used, which self-management techniques are applied, and how clinicians are integrated.

Methods

Design

This review is structured in 3 distinct stages, each contributing to building a comprehensive overview and understanding of the landscape of self-management systems for PD. We will perform a scoping review by leveraging bibliographic databases, search and select eligible systems on the Apple App store and Google Play store, and evaluate the level of their evidence. Identified self-management systems will be evaluated using the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine (OCEBM) guidelines following adherence to established eligibility criteria. Separate analyses will be conducted for the scoping review and product evaluation, as they will extract different types of data, but findings from each will be synthesized in the discussion. The design concludes with a narrative synthesis to summarize study findings and product evaluation results, incorporating study methods, key findings, and outcomes. All review stages are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Review stages.

Boege et al

Review stage	Data collection method	Analysis method			
Stage 1: a scoping review	Search bibliographic databases (PubMed, CINAHL, Scopus, ACM Digital Library, and IEEE Xplore) using PRISMA-ScR ^a and PICOS ^b frameworks	Narrative synthesis to identify key themes and gaps			
Stage 2: product search	Search Apple App Store and Google Play Store using relevant keywords	Evaluation of system characteristics and user feedback			
Stage 3: data analysis	Extract data from the literature review and product search	Adapt OCEBM ^c guidelines for system classification and narrative synthesis			

^aPRISMA-ScR: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews.

^bPICOS: Population, Intervention, Comparator, Outcome, and Studies.

^cOCEBM: Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine.

Search Strategy

Stage 1: Scoping Review

Search

The first stage of the research involves the exploration of existing literature through 5 bibliographic databases: PubMed, CINAHL, Scopus, ACM Digital Library, and IEEE Xplore. The search strategy is designed to identify studies using qualitative, quantitative, and mixed method approaches that investigate HCPs' perspectives on the acceptability and usability of self-management systems. The PRISMA-ScR (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews; Multimedia Appendix 1) and Population, Intervention, Comparator, Outcome, and Studies (PICOS) frameworks were used to structure the protocol for the review strategy (Table 2) [35]. The PICOS framework was used to outline key themes that shaped the search strategy (Table 3),

with themes relating to the population (HCPs), intervention (PD
and self-management interventions), and outcomes (evaluation)

The scoping review will be used to systematically chart and synthesize data to identify key themes and gaps in the existing literature, thereby providing a comprehensive overview of this research results and studies to build the foundation for subsequent stages of this research, ensuring that relevant aspects are actual and addressed. The database search will be performed in August 2024 using PubMed (MeSH [Medical Subject Headings] terms), CINAHL (abstract), Scopus (title and abstract), ACM digital library (full text), and IEEE Xplore (full text). A preliminary exploration of identified relevant terms based on the PICOS framework was used to develop the search strategy (Multimedia Appendix 2). The search strategy will include a set of keywords relating to digital self-management tools and their user-friendliness and user acceptance. Keywords were derived from MeSH related to the subject and used as search terms (Table 3).

Table 2. PICOS^a framework [35].

PICOS	Detail
Population	Health care professionals treating patients
Intervention	• Digital interventions for self-management for people with PD ^b , for example, telehealth, exergaming, websites, smart homes, mobile apps, web-based systems, and wearable devices, which offer a clinician portal or similar which may enable clinical use (eg, e-record integration, messaging features, remote monitoring, or treatment decision support)
Comparator	• None
Outcomes	 Primary outcome: clinician usability and acceptability of digital interventions for self-management in PD care Secondary outcomes: factors that might impact the usability of these systems including regulatory requirements, training protocols, and any other elements that may influence the overall user experience for both people with PD and clinicians
Study types	• Qualitative and quantitative studies, for example, case-control studies, case series, longitudinal studies, cohort studies, or RCTs ^c

^aPICOS: Population, Intervention, Comparator, Outcome, and Studies.

^bPD: Parkinson disease.

RenderX

^cRCTs: randomized controlled trials.

Boege et al

Table 3. MeSH ^a terms and keywords used for literature	e search.
---	-----------

Category	MeSH terms	Keywords (in title and abstract)
Parkinson disease	Parkinson disease OR parkinsonian disorders	"Parkinson's disease" OR parkinson OR parkinson's OR "parkinson disease"
Health care profes- sional	Physicians OR health personnel	"Healthcare professional" OR "healthcare personnel" OR clinician OR practitioner OR doctor OR nurse OR "care professional"
Self-management intervention	Electronic OR technology OR data collection OR internet-based intervention OR digital health OR telemedicine OR computing methodologies OR software OR wearable electronic devices OR self-help devices OR rehabilitation OR computer-user training	"Digital intervention" OR technology OR system OR portal OR remote OR home-based OR database management sys- tem OR internet-mediated therapy OR remote consultation OR personal health services
Evaluation	Quality of health care OR health care evaluation mechanism OR program evaluation OR attitude OR behaviour OR acceptance process OR acceptance processes OR treatment adherence and compliance OR communication methods, total OR security measures OR educational measurement OR time management OR efficiency, organizational	Evaluation OR attitude OR user experience OR acceptability OR usability OR impact OR acceptance OR compliance OR conformity OR efficiency

^aMeSH: Medical Subject Headings.

Eligibility

Studies eligible for inclusion are papers that analyze self-management systems for people with PD and their HCP, embed clinical measures, or focus on clinical evidence of acceptability and usability. A comprehensive definition of self-management interventions was used to enable the collection of various intervention types. Any digital form of intervention, whether websites or mobile apps, are considered for inclusion if the system's intention includes enhancing any aspect of patient self-management in PD. Inclusion criteria for literature encompass published studies at any given time, including randomized controlled trials, cohort studies, and case-control studies. There will be no limit of the publication date as this review aims to have a full overview of available self-management systems for patients with PD.

Studies that do not review or analyze self-management intervention for PD will be excluded as well as editorials, perspective articles, conference papers, and protocols. Literature that is not published in English will be excluded as the research team does not have the necessary resources to assess these.

Screening and Selection of Studies

The selection of studies will be determined by a review of study titles and abstracts in the indexed databases to assess their relevance to the use of digital self-management systems for PD. Selected references will be managed, and duplicates will be eliminated using the citation management software EndNote X21 (Clarivate). The initial screening of these references, based on search strategy keywords, will be conducted through EndNote X21's search function. A total of 2 authors will screen the title and abstract of each record and will either include or exclude using the eligibility criteria previously described. Once the title and abstract screening is completed, selected records will be obtained for full-text screening. Full-text screening will be undertaken following the eligibility criteria. The stages of the scoping review process and selection of records will be presented using the PRISMA-ScR flowchart [35]. The data

extraction and analysis process for this scoping review are described in stage 3.

Stage 2: Product Search

Search

The second stage includes the search and selection of digital interventions currently available in app stores. The process will be executed by searching the Apple App store and Google Play store using relevant keywords to identify and assess digital interventions. The keywords "Parkinson" and "Parkinson's Disease" will be searched separately on both app stores; all results from each search will be extracted, the lists will be combined, and duplicates will be removed before screening. This stage is designed to encompass a diverse range of self-management tools available that are specific to PD or of potential relevance for patients managing specific symptoms caused by PD. This stage aims to respect the dynamic nature of the systems available for clinicians, ensuring the inclusion of real-world offerings to facilitate a holistic analysis of practical implications for available digital solutions.

Eligibility

Any digital self-management intervention, such as websites, web portals, mobile apps, or wearable devices, will be considered eligible if it primarily focuses on providing self-management services for individuals diagnosed with PD. The system will be designed to operate as a stand-alone product, eliminating the need for the combination of multiple devices. This was chosen to account for operational or financial consequences for the patient in acquiring the system. The system may adopt a paid subscription model, feature in-app purchases, or be offered free of charge to users. Systems included for review must be available in the Apple App store or Google Play store and accessible in English and in the United Kingdom. Eligible apps are any systems for the use of PD self-management. Detailed eligibility criteria are presented in Textbox 1.

Boege et al

Textbox 1. Eligibility criteria for systems on app stores included in the study.

- Inclusion criteria
 - Systems available on the UK Apple App store or UK Google Play store
 - Systems available in English
 - Systems intended for the self-management of Parkinson disease
- Exclusion criteria
 - Systems not available on the UK Apple App store or UK Google Play store
 - Systems not available in English
 - Systems not yet released for public use or access

Screening and Selection of Self-Management Systems

All self-management systems found in the scoping review or product searches will be documented in a Microsoft Excel file, and duplicate entries will be removed. Systems meeting the criteria will be accessed and purchased, if necessary, and downloaded to either the MacOS system or iOS or Android devices. Any systems that, upon closer inspection, do not meet the inclusion criteria will be excluded. In case of disagreements between the reviewers, these will be discussed and, if required, resolved by a third reviewer.

Stage 3: Data Analysis

Data Extraction

Following completion of the screening process and deduplication using EndNote 21 software, eligible studies will be transferred to a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet for further data extraction (Textbox 2). Any modifications to the data extraction items will be recorded contemporaneously and reported in the final review.

Textbox 2. Full data charting list.

•	•	Literature:	system	description
---	---	-------------	--------	-------------

- Name
- Year of launch
- Technology domain (eg, internet of things [IoT], mobile app, or website)
- Costs
- Evaluation method
- Type of self-management intervention
- Description of self-management intervention
- Product evaluation: system characteristics
 - Intervention outcome or intention
 - Possibility of data exchange (clinician-patient)
 - Peer collaboration (clinician-clinician)
 - Feedback mechanism
 - Possibility of uploading eHealth records
 - (Real-time) remote monitoring
 - Alerts and notifications
 - Training support
 - Appointment scheduling
 - Integration of wearables

Data Analysis

RenderX

Data extracted from the studies included in the literature review will be analyzed and synthesized narratively. The criteria used to assess the systems found through literature and product

https://www.researchprotocols.org/2024/1/e58845

searches will be adapted from the OCEBM guidelines [7]. In this categorization, systems will be classified based on the strength of their evidence, ranging from case reports to randomized controlled trial methodology. We will characterize

self-management systems described in these reports based on different types of technology, such as mobile apps, websites, web portals, telehealth, digital communication platforms, digital solutions for data management, wearable devices, and web-based training systems. The analytical narrative synthesis of the reviewed literature will examine what self-management techniques are used within the systems and how clinicians are incorporated, investigating if their perspectives are solicited

solutions for data management, wearable devices, and web-based training systems. The analytical narrative synthesis of the reviewed literature will examine what self-management techniques are used within the systems and how clinicians are incorporated, investigating if their perspectives are solicited and the degree to which such feedback influences the design and evolution of these digital tools. Systems will be summarized focusing on clinical and operational intention to identify key trends and outcome measures will be examined. The results of this will be tabulated to facilitate visualization of identified systems and their characteristics and elaborated in the discussion of the review. Following the summarization of the findings from the literature review and system selection, the final stage will be a synthesis of qualitative findings from eligible studies combined with information derived from the system search and system descriptions or reviews on the app stores. By categorizing systems based on the technology domain and examining the qualitative data about user experiences, stage 3 aims to synthesize information found; this will enabling a deeper understanding of digital self-management solutions for patients and clinicians in relation to the type and features of the technology, to ensure that the systems not only serve patients but also include integral components in the clinical workflow, thus fostering an efficient and collaborative care environment. The synthesis of qualitative insights with system evaluations will reveal how well current tools support this vital relationship, guiding the development of future solutions to better meet the needs of both patients and HCPs.

Ethical Considerations

There are no ethical considerations for the first stage (scoping review), as this will only examine previously published data. For the stage-2 production evaluation, we will be evaluating intervention features and will not have access to any patient data. The privacy of any user data accessed from app store reviews will be protected through anonymization in the data extraction process.

Results

Literature search and screening began soon after submission of the protocol, and the review is expected to be completed by end of September 2024.

Discussion

This review will examine currently available self-management systems within PD care with a particular focus on their

acceptability and usability. The review will adopt a 3-stage approach, involving a scoping review, product search, and evidence of evaluation across various databases.

In the scoping review, there is also a potential publication bias, as the narrative synthesis may rely on published literature that predominantly reports positive outcomes, potentially overlooking negative or inconclusive results. In addition, selection bias could arise from the criteria used to assess and include systems, potentially favoring certain types of technology or studies with more rigorous methodologies. Finally, reporting bias might affect the qualitative synthesis, as the perspectives of clinicians and users reported in app store reviews or system descriptions may not fully capture the range of experiences, particularly those of individuals who did not engage with the tools or had negative experiences. To mitigate these biases, we will include a comprehensive range of studies, apply rigorous inclusion criteria, and seek diverse sources of qualitative data to ensure a balanced and representative analysis. Potential biases in data selection and interpretation may arise from the single-reviewer methodology, which is due to time and resource constraints.

Choosing only the Apple App store and Google Play store can be limiting, especially for health-related apps, as it may exclude specialized or region-specific app stores that offer unique or locally relevant health solutions. In addition, some innovative or niche health apps might only be available on alternative platforms like the Amazon App store, Samsung Galaxy Store, or F-Droid, potentially overlooking valuable resources for comprehensive health management.

The scope of the study will include an examination of the presence and extent of clinician-focused evaluations in existing research but will not deeply synthesize factors affecting clinicians' acceptability and usability. This is a gap in the literature, but it is not within the scope of this review due to resource and logistical limitations, and the purpose of the scoping review is to provide an overview of the literature. Understanding how to best support clinician adoption and use will be an important factor in the implementation of such systems and a good target for further research.

Despite the limitations, the review is expected to contribute to the literature by addressing a gap in research on the acceptability and usability of self-management systems for clinicians. It will provide a comprehensive summary of self-management systems adopting different types of technology used in PD care and differentiate between patient-centered and clinician-centric tools, aiming to offer recommendations that can inform future system designs.

Acknowledgments

This review was supported by external funding by Parkinson's UK Non-Drug Awards Programme (grant NDA-21). Views expressed in this review are those of the authors and are not funding agencies or their affiliated institutions. The review is supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Newcastle Biomedical Research Centre (BRC) based at The Newcastle upon Tyne Hospital NHS Foundation Trust; Newcastle University; and the Cumbria, Northumberland and Tyne and Wear (CNTW) NHS Foundation Trust.



The review protocol was conceived and supervised by MMI and EM. Clinical feedback was provided by CC. SB drafted the protocol, including the research question, Population, Intervention, Comparator, Outcome, and Studies (PICOS), and search strategy. All authors contributed revisions.

Conflicts of Interest

EM is the Editor-in-Chief of *JMIRx Med.* CC has received advisory, consulting or lecture fees from AbbVie, Bial, Mission Therapeutics, MODAG and Roche, and research funding from Parkinson's UK, Edmond J Safra Foundation, National Institute of Health and Care Research and Cure Parkinson's.

Multimedia Appendix 1

PRISMA-ScR (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Extension for Scoping Reviews) 2015 checklist.

[DOCX File , 20 KB-Multimedia Appendix 1]

Multimedia Appendix 2

Search strings of sample search. [DOCX File, 16 KB-Multimedia Appendix 2]

References

- 1. Kouli A, Torsney TM, Kuan WL, Stoker TB, Greenland JC. Parkinson's disease: etiology, neuropathology, and pathogenesis. In: Parkinson's Disease: Pathogenesis and Clinical Aspects. Brisbane. Codon Publications; Dec 21, 2018:3-26.
- 2. Kuosmanen E, Huusko E, van Berkel N, Nunes F, Vega J, Goncalves J, et al. Exploring crowdsourced self-care techniques: a study on Parkinson's disease. Int J Hum Comput Stud. Sep 2023;177:103062. [doi: <u>10.1016/j.ijhcs.2023.103062</u>]
- Bounsall K, Milne-Ives M, Hall A, Carroll C, Meinert E. Artificial intelligence applications for assessment, monitoring, and management of Parkinson disease symptoms: protocol for a systematic review. JMIR Res Protoc. Jun 14, 2023;12:e46581.
 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/46581] [Medline: 37314853]
- 4. Brown EG, Schleimer E, Bledsoe IO, Rowles W, Miller NA, Sanders SJ, et al. Enhancing clinical information display to improve patient encounters: human-centered design and evaluation of the Parkinson Disease-BRIDGE platform. JMIR Hum Factors. May 06, 2022;9(2):e33967. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/33967] [Medline: 35522472]
- Hall AM, Aroori S, Carroll CB, Meinert E, Allgar V. Impact of digital technologies on self-efficacy in people with Parkinson's: a scoping review protocol. BMJ Open. Mar 23, 2023;13(3):e069929. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2022-069929] [Medline: 36958772]
- 6. Dixit S, Bohre K, Singh Y, Himeur Y, Mansoor W, Atalla S, et al. A comprehensive review on ai-enabled models for Parkinson's disease diagnosis. Electronics. Feb 04, 2023;12(4):783. [doi: 10.3390/electronics12040783]
- Freytag J, Chu J, Hysong SJ, Street RL, Markham CM, Giordano TP, et al. Acceptability and feasibility of video-based coaching to enhance clinicians' communication skills with patients. BMC Med Educ. Feb 08, 2022;22(1):85. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s12909-021-02976-2] [Medline: 35135521]
- Mattison G, Canfell O, Forrester D, Dobbins C, Smith D, Töyräs J, et al. The influence of wearables on health care outcomes in chronic disease: systematic review. J Med Internet Res. Jul 01, 2022;24(7):e36690. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/36690] [Medline: 35776492]
- Triantafyllidis A, Segkouli S, Zygouris S, Michailidou C, Avgerinakis K, Fappa E, et al. Mobile app interventions for Parkinson's disease, multiple sclerosis and stroke: a systematic literature review. Sensors (Basel). Mar 23, 2023;23(7):3396.
 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3390/s23073396] [Medline: 37050456]
- Özden F. The effect of mobile application-based rehabilitation in patients with Parkinson's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Neurol Neurosurg. Feb 2023;225:107579. [doi: <u>10.1016/j.clineuro.2022.107579</u>] [Medline: <u>36603336</u>]
- 11. Marotta N, Calafiore D, Curci C, Lippi L, Ammendolia V, Ferraro F, et al. Integrating virtual reality and exergaming in cognitive rehabilitation of patients with Parkinson disease: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med. Dec 2022;58(6):818-826. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.23736/S1973-9087.22.07643-2] [Medline: 36169933]
- Zhang J, Luximon Y, Pang MYC, Wang H. Effectiveness of exergaming-based interventions for mobility and balance performance in older adults with Parkinson's disease: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. Age Ageing. Aug 02, 2022;51(8):afac175. [doi: <u>10.1093/ageing/afac175</u>] [Medline: <u>35930726</u>]
- Giannakopoulou KM, Roussaki I, Demestichas K. Internet of things technologies and machine learning methods for Parkinson's disease diagnosis, monitoring and management: a systematic review. Sensors (Basel). Feb 24, 2022;22(5):1799.
 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3390/s22051799] [Medline: 35270944]

- 14. Tripathi S, Malhotra A, Qazi M, Chou J, Wang F, Barkan S, et al. Clinical review of smartphone applications in Parkinson's disease. Neurologist. Jul 01, 2022;27(4):183-193. [doi: <u>10.1097/NRL.00000000000413</u>] [Medline: <u>35051970</u>]
- Gallou-Guyot M, Nuic D, Mandigout S, Compagnat M, Welter ML, Daviet JC, et al. Effectiveness of home-based rehabilitation using active video games on quality of life, cognitive and motor functions in people with Parkinson's disease: a systematic review. Disabil Rehabil. Dec 2022;44(26):8222-8233. [doi: <u>10.1080/09638288.2021.2022780</u>] [Medline: <u>34982599</u>]
- Abou L, Peters J, Wong E, Akers R, Dossou MS, Sosnoff JJ, et al. Gait and balance assessments using smartphone applications in Parkinson's disease: a systematic review. J Med Syst. Aug 15, 2021;45(9):87. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s10916-021-01760-5] [Medline: <u>34392429</u>]
- 17. Ellis TD, Earhart GM. Digital therapeutics in Parkinson's disease: practical applications and future potential. J Parkinsons Dis. 2021;11(s1):S95-S101. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3233/JPD-202407] [Medline: 33646177]
- 18. Morgan C, Rolinski M, McNaney R, Jones B, Rochester L, Maetzler W, et al. Systematic review looking at the use of technology to measure free-living symptom and activity outcomes in Parkinson's disease in the home or a home-like environment. J Parkinsons Dis. 2020;10(2):429-454. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3233/JPD-191781] [Medline: 32250314]
- Hellqvist C, Berterö C, Dizdar N, Sund-Levander M, Hagell P. Self-management education for persons with Parkinson's disease and their care partners: a quasi-experimental case-control study in clinical practice. Parkinsons Dis. 2020;2020:6920943. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1155/2020/6920943] [Medline: 32399171]
- Bendig J, Spanz A, Leidig J, Frank A, Stahr M, Reichmann H, et al. Measuring the usability of eHealth solutions for patients with Parkinson disease: observational study. JMIR Form Res. Oct 25, 2022;6(10):e39954. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/39954] [Medline: 36282558]
- 21. Owen CL, Ibrahim K, Dennison L, Roberts HC. Falls self-management interventions for people with Parkinson's disease: a systematic review. J Parkinsons Dis. 2019;9(2):283-299. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3233/JPD-181524] [Medline: 30958315]
- 22. Lökk J. Lack of information and access to advanced treatment for Parkinson's disease patients. J Multidiscip Healthc. 2011;4:433-439. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2147/JMDH.S27180] [Medline: 22247618]
- So HY, Kim SR, Kim S, Park YS, Jo S, Park KW, et al. Effect of home-based self-management intervention for community-dwelling patients with early Parkinson's disease: a feasibility study. J Community Health Nurs. 2023;40(2):133-146. [doi: 10.1080/07370016.2022.2133566] [Medline: 36920114]
- Guo CC, Chiesa PA, de Moor C, Fazeli MS, Schofield T, Hofer K, et al. Digital devices for assessing motor functions in mobility-impaired and healthy populations: systematic literature review. J Med Internet Res. Nov 21, 2022;24(11):e37683.
 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/37683] [Medline: 36409538]
- Park Y, Kim SR, So HY, Jo S, Lee SH, Hwang YS, et al. Effect of mobile health intervention for self-management on self-efficacy, motor and non-motor symptoms, self-management, and quality of life in people with Parkinson's disease: Randomized controlled trial. Geriatr Nurs. 2022;46:90-97. [doi: <u>10.1016/j.gerinurse.2022.05.003</u>] [Medline: <u>35643018</u>]
- 26. Klucken J, Krüger R, Schmidt P, Bloem BR. Management of Parkinson's disease 20 years from now: towards digital health pathways. J Parkinsons Dis. 2018;8(s1):S85-S94. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3233/JPD-181519] [Medline: 30584171]
- 27. Afraie M, Moradi G, Mohammadzedeh P, Azami M, Riyahifar S, Moradi Y. COVID-19 and Parkinson's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Acta Neurol Belg. Aug 2023;123(4):1209-1223. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s13760-022-02141-6] [Medline: 36385247]
- 28. Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ. Jan 02, 2015;350:g7647. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/bmj.g7647] [Medline: 2555855]
- 29. Nousia A, Martzoukou M, Tsouris Z, Siokas V, Aloizou AM, Liampas I, et al. The beneficial effects of computer-based cognitive training in parkinson's disease: a systematic review. Arch Clin Neuropsychol. May 22, 2020;35(4):434-447. [doi: 10.1093/arclin/acz080] [Medline: 31942917]
- Shahhar AZM, Qasheesh M, Shaphe MA. Effectiveness of Nintendo Wii on balance in people with Parkinson's disease: a systematic review. J Lifestyle Med. Sep 30, 2022;12(3):105-112. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.15280/jlm.2022.12.3.105] [Medline: 36628175]
- Garcia-Agundez A, Folkerts AK, Konrad R, Caserman P, Tregel T, Goosses M, et al. Recent advances in rehabilitation for Parkinson's disease with exergames: a systematic review. J Neuroeng Rehabil. Jan 29, 2019;16(1):17. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s12984-019-0492-1] [Medline: 30696453]
- 32. Linares-Del Rey M, Vela-Desojo L, Cano-de la Cuerda R. Mobile phone applications in Parkinson's disease: a systematic review. Neurologia (Engl Ed). 2019;34(1):38-54. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.nrl.2017.03.006] [Medline: 28549757]
- Milne-Ives M, Carroll C, Meinert E. Self-management interventions for people with Parkinson disease: scoping review. J Med Internet Res. Aug 05, 2022;24(8):e40181. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/40181] [Medline: 35930315]
- Spreadbury JH, Young A, Kipps CM. A comprehensive literature search of digital health technology use in neurological conditions: review of digital tools to promote self-management and support. J Med Internet Res. Jul 28, 2022;24(7):e31929. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/31929] [Medline: 35900822]

 Pigott JS, Kane EJ, Ambler G, Walters K, Schrag A. Systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical effectiveness of self-management interventions in Parkinson's disease. BMC Geriatr. Jan 11, 2022;22(1):45. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s12877-021-02656-2] [Medline: 35016613]

Abbreviations

HCP: health care professional
OCEBM: Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine
PD: Parkinson disease
PICOS: Population, Intervention, Comparator, Outcome, and Studies
PRISMA-ScR: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews

Edited by T Leung; submitted 26.03.24; peer-reviewed by JC Zwicker, H Mehdizadeh; comments to author 24.07.24; revised version received 31.07.24; accepted 01.08.24; published 24.09.24 <u>Please cite as:</u> Boege S, Milne-Ives M, Meinert E, Carroll C Self-Management Systems for Patients and Clinicians in Parkinson Care: Protocol for an Integrated Scoping Review, Product Search, and Evaluation JMIR Res Protoc 2024;13:e58845 URL: https://www.researchprotocols.org/2024/1/e58845 doi: 10.2196/58845 PMID:

©Selina Boege, Madison Milne-Ives, Edward Meinert, Camille Carroll. Originally published in JMIR Research Protocols (https://www.researchprotocols.org), 24.09.2024. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR Research Protocols, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on https://www.researchprotocols.org, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.