Protocol

Interventions to Maintain HIV/AIDS, Tuberculosis, and Malaria Service Delivery During Public Health Emergencies in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: Protocol for a Systematic Review

Steven Ndugwa Kabwama^{1,2}, MSc; Rhoda K. Wanyenze³, PhD; Helena Lindgren⁴, PhD; Neda Razaz⁵, PhD; John M Ssenkusu⁶, PhD; Tobias Alfvén^{1,7}, PhD

Corresponding Author:

Steven Ndugwa Kabwama, MSc Department of Global Public Health Karolinska Institutet Tomtebodavägen 18A, Solna Stockholm, 17177 Sweden

Phone: 46 707578093

Email: steven.ndugwa.kabwama@ki.se

Abstract

Background: Although existing disease preparedness and response frameworks provide guidance about strengthening emergency response capacity, little attention is paid to health service continuity during emergency responses. During the 2014 Ebola outbreak, there were 11,325 reported deaths due to the Ebola virus and yet disruption in access to care caused more than 10,000 additional deaths due to measles, HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, and malaria. Low- and middle-income countries account for the largest disease burden due to HIV, tuberculosis, and malaria and yet previous responses to health emergencies showed that HIV, tuberculosis, and malaria service delivery can be significantly disrupted. To date, there has not been a systematic synthesis of interventions implemented to maintain the delivery of these services during emergencies.

Objective: This study aimed to synthesize the interventions implemented to maintain HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, and malaria services during public health emergencies in low- and middle-income countries.

Methods: The systematic review was registered in the international register for prospective systematic reviews. It will include activities undertaken to improve human health either through preventing the occurrence of HIV, tuberculosis, or malaria, reducing the severity among patients, or promoting the restoration of functioning lost as a result of experiencing HIV, tuberculosis, or malaria during health emergencies. These will include policy-level (eg, development of guidelines), health facility-level (eg, service rescheduling), and community-level interventions (eg, community drug distribution). Service delivery will be in terms of improving access, availability, use, and coverage. We will report on any interventions to maintain services along the care cascade for HIV, tuberculosis, or malaria. Peer-reviewed study databases including MEDLINE, Web of Science, Embase, Cochrane, and Global Index Medicus will be searched. Reference lists from global reports on HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, or malaria will also be searched. We will use the GRADE-CERQual (Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation—Confidence in Evidence from Reviews of Qualitative Research) approach to report on the quality of evidence in each paper. The information from the studies will be synthesized at the disease or condition level (HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, and malaria), implementation level (policy, health facility, and community), and outcomes (improving access, availability, use, or coverage). We will use the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) checklist to report findings and discuss implications for strengthening preparedness and response, as well as strengthening health systems in low-and middle-income countries.



¹Department of Global Public Health, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

²Department of Community Health and Behavioral Sciences, School of Public Health, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda

³Department of Disease Control and Environmental Health, School of Public Health, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda

⁴Department of Women's and Children's Health, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

⁵Department of Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

⁶Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda

⁷Sachs' Children and Youth Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

Results: The initial search for published literature was conducted between January 2023 and March 2023 and yielded 8119 studies. At the time of publication, synthesis and interpretation of results were being concluded. Final results will be published in 2025.

Conclusions: The findings will inform the development of national and global guidance to minimize disruption of services for patients with HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, and malaria during public health emergencies.

Trial Registration: PROSPERO CRD42023408967; https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php?RecordID=408967 **International Registered Report Identifier (IRRID):** PRR1-10.2196/64316

(JMIR Res Protoc 2025;14:e64316) doi: 10.2196/64316

KEYWORDS

service availability; emergencies; tuberculosis; malaria; systematic reviews; health services; emergencies; HIV; AIDS; public health emergency; low- and middle-income countries; qualitative reviews; qualitative; policies; communities; health facilities; emergency; implement; implementation

Introduction

Background

The effective management of disasters requires deliberate investment across the phases of the disaster management cycle including mitigation or prevention, preparedness, response, and recovery [1]. In public health disaster management, several frameworks have been developed to provide a blueprint for investments to improve capacities for preparedness, response, and control of public health emergencies. The most widely applied framework is the World Health Organization (WHO) Joint External Evaluation of the International Health Regulations core capacities [2]. Others include the Global Health Security Index [3], the Health System Resilience Index [4], and the Epidemic Preparedness Index [5]. Taken together, the frameworks are comprehensive insofar as prescribing the mechanics or the hardware of a country's capacity to respond to and control health emergencies. For example, investment in building diagnostic capacity, establishing emergency operations centers, investing in health workers, and having a coordination structure are all critical for both preparedness and response to emergencies. However, little attention is paid to issues such as leadership and trust [6], gender and equity [7], and continuity of other services [8]. Health service maintenance during public health emergencies is critical to minimize preventable disease mortality and morbidity. During the 2014 Ebola outbreak in West Africa, there were 11,325 reported deaths due to the Ebola virus [9] and yet the disruption in access to care also caused more than 10,000 additional preventable deaths due to measles, HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, and malaria [10]. The 2009 H1N1 pandemic increased the risk of adverse neonatal outcomes among pregnant women [11] and increased emergency department visits [12]. The COVID-19 pandemic also reduced overall health care use across 20 countries by about a third [13]. Furthermore, a systematic review of the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on service delivery and treatment outcomes of people living with HIV [14] noted that there were challenges in accessing services in health facilities, loss of adherence to drugs, and increase in mortality due to COVID-19-related complications. And yet, several modeling studies [15,16] predicted that even brief interruptions to services such as antiretroviral therapy (ART) and condoms could increase HIV/AIDS-related morbidity and mortality in both the short and long term. Previous researchers have described this failure as the "tyranny of the urgent" [7,17] where the response to the public health emergency is prioritized over other health system functions like routine service delivery. In fact, prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, service continuity was not part of the standard operations of an emergency response [18], and the WHO monitoring and evaluation framework for assessing the effectiveness of a response did not have indicators for monitoring continuity of delivery of other services [19]. Our aim is not to contend that services are delivered during the emergency in the exact same way as in normal settings but to recognize the need for modifications and adaptations to service delivery to maintain demand for and continued access to services. Since the WHO International Health Regulations (2005) governing framework for global health security was established [20], the events that have been declared public health emergencies of international concern include the H1N1 pandemic influenza of 2009, Ebola outbreak in West Africa (2013-2015), Ebola outbreak in the Democratic Republic of Congo (2018-2020), Zika virus outbreak (2016), poliomyelitis (2014 to present), the COVID-19 pandemic [21], and the monkeypox outbreak (2022-2023). Previous studies have consistently shown the impact these public health emergencies have on access to HIV, tuberculosis, and malaria services [22-26]; in addition to the already high mortality and morbidity due to these diseases in low- and middle-income countries [27]. To date, there has not, to our knowledge, been a systematic synthesis of the interventions implemented to maintain the demand for and delivery of HIV, tuberculosis, and malaria services during emergencies. Recent reviews have primarily focused on the challenges [28] or the interventions [29] for the delivery of maternal and child health services during emergencies. The findings from this review will inform the development of national and global guidance on the maintenance of services for HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, and malaria during public health emergencies.

Review Question

What interventions have been implemented to maintain the delivery of HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, and malaria services during public health emergencies in low- and middle-income countries?



Objective

This study aims to identify strategies and interventions used to maintain the delivery of and access to HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, and malaria services during public health emergencies of international concern including H1N1 2009, Ebola 2014, Zika 2015, and the COVID-19 pandemic in low- and middle-income countries to inform efforts for incorporating essential health services maintenance as a key preparedness and response strategy.

Methods

Study Design

The proposed systematic review will be conducted following the guidance given by the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [30]. The systematic review has been registered in the International Register for Prospective Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO Registration #CRD42023408967).

Inclusion Criteria

Concept

For purposes of this systematic review, we will define interventions as any strategies or activities undertaken to improve human health either through preventing the occurrence of HIV, tuberculosis, or malaria, reducing the severity of HIV, tuberculosis, or malaria among patients, or promoting the restoration of functioning lost as a result of experiencing HIV, tuberculosis, or malaria [31]. The interventions for the continuity of HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, and malaria services will include policy-level interventions (eg, development of guidelines), health facility-level interventions (eg, service rescheduling), and community-level interventions (eg, community drug distribution). We will define service delivery in terms of improving access (physical and economic ability to use services), availability (physical presence of services), use (quantity of services used), and coverage (proportion of people who access a needed service) [32].

We will report on any interventions to maintain services along the stages of the HIV care cascade including improving testing, linkage to care, retention in care, ART adherence, and viral suppression [33]. Similarly, we will report any interventions implemented to maintain services along any of the stages of the tuberculosis care cascade including tuberculosis testing, linkage to treatment, retention in treatment, posttreatment survival, and achieving durable cure [34]. For malaria, we will include interventions aimed at prevention (eg, vector control), diagnosis, or treatment according to the WHO malaria guidelines [35]. We will include studies reporting any quantitative assessment of service delivery processes (screening, testing, linkage to care, retention in care, and ART adherence) or outcomes (viral suppression, cure, and case counts).

Context

This systematic review will include studies conducted to maintain delivery of and access to HIV, tuberculosis, and malaria services during public health emergencies of international concern in low- and middle-income countries according to the World Bank classification of countries by income level [36].

Types of Sources

This systematic review will consider both quantitative and qualitative studies that use cross-sectional, case-control, randomized and nonrandomized control trials, or cohort study designs. Other designs such as before and after, interrupted time series, and analytical observational studies will be considered for inclusion. Global disease-specific reports published by the Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS, WHO, or any other global bodies will be considered for inclusion. Editorials, preprints, abstracts, study protocols, commentaries, webinar papers, or opinion pieces will not be eligible for inclusion in the study. Studies conducted among animals or studies assessing the direct effects of emergencies will also be excluded as the review focuses on the maintenance of services for HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, and malaria.

Search Strategy

A test search was conducted in PubMed to identify published papers on the maintenance of HIV, tuberculosis, and malaria services during public health emergencies. Thereafter, we developed a full search using words in the titles and abstracts of the papers we identified to be relevant (Multimedia Appendix 1). The search strategy will be used to identify relevant papers in each of the other databases. We will include only studies published in English. In addition, we will restrict the search to studies published between 2009 (when the H1N1 pandemic happened) and the end of March 2023.

Peer-reviewed study databases including MEDLINE, Web of Science, Embase, Cochrane, and Global Index Medicus will be searched. Two experienced librarians at Karolinska Institutet's University Library provided guidance about the choice of article databases that would provide the most relevant studies related to the research question. In addition, we will review reference lists of eligible studies, specific journals, and Google Scholar for relevant studies. Reference lists from Global Reports for HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, or malaria will also be searched for relevant papers. The search will be guided by 2 experienced librarians from Karolinska Institutet's University Library. We anticipate that this search will be completed by the end of May 2023.

After the search is completed, the citations of all identified studies will be exported to Endnote X7 (Clarivate) and screened to remove any duplicates. Thereafter, the search will then be exported to Rayyan [37]—a web-based software that was developed by the Qatar Computing Research Institute and uses semiautomation to expedite the process of screening studies. Two reviewers will independently apply the inclusion and exclusion criteria and select studies based on the title and abstract. Disagreements between the individual judgments of the reviewers will be resolved through a discussion. After consensus building on studies based on titles and abstracts, additional studies may be excluded at full-text review.



Data Extraction

A data abstraction form will be used to obtain information from each of the relevant studies (Multimedia Appendix 2). The data to be extracted from each paper includes the year of publication, first author, year of study, journal or other publication source, country or countries, title, study design, target population, circumstance or outbreak, disease category (HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, malaria, or a combination of these), list of measures implemented to maintain services, and limitations and strengths. The other information to be extracted about the interventions include the implementer (facility health workers and community health workers), the level at which the intervention is implemented (facility and community), the measure of assessment of the intervention (eg, number of people initiated on ART, number of people tested, and viral load coverage), and the type of indicator (process: number receiving drugs or outcome: number virally suppressed). The number of variables and the order in which they are presented will be adjusted depending on the amount of relevant information we find in the identified studies.

Risk of Bias or Quality Assessment

We will use several strategies to ensure the quality of studies included in the systematic review. First, we will involve 2 reviewers to screen the studies that will be included in the review. The reviewers will have experience in research related to HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, and malaria service delivery as well as response and control of public health emergencies. In addition, since we do not anticipate quantitatively combining the relevant studies, we will use the GRADE-CERQual (Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, Evaluation—Confidence in Evidence from Reviews of Qualitative Research) approach [38] to assess the quality of each paper. Each study will be assessed for quality in terms of the methodological quality, coherence, adequacy, and quality of the data collected and the relevance of results in line with the review question. The overall assessment of the quality of each study will be graded as either high, moderate, low, or very low

Data Synthesis and Presentation

Findings from the systematic review will be presented using the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses) checklist and flow diagram [39]. The data extracted for the review studies will be summarized and presented in tabular or graphic form as appropriate. The synthesis of the data will be conducted at various levels. These will include at disease or condition level (HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, malaria, or a combination of these), at the implementation level (policy, health facility, and community), and outcomes (improving access, availability, use, or coverage of services)

Results

The initial search for published literature yielded 8119 studies from web-based databases. This search was conducted between

January 2023 and March 2023. At the time of publication, synthesis and interpretation of results were being concluded. Final results will be published in 2025.

Discussion

Expected Findings

We will discuss the findings and their implications for strengthening both preparedness and response, as well as strengthening health systems in low- and middle-income countries. Specifically, we will discuss interventions that are common to the 3 disease programs and how such interventions can support the response to a public health emergency but also be scaled up to strengthen service delivery beyond the emergency. We will also discuss how the interventions have been assessed by comparing process indicators (such as number of people tested) and outcome indicators (such as number of people with viral suppression).

Previous literature has tried to synthesize the challenges [28] and interventions [29] for the delivery of specific service delivery programs like delivery of maternal and child health services during emergencies. However, the scope of essential health services is broad and includes services for noncommunicable diseases like diabetes and hypertension, services for older adults as well as health promotion and referral services. This protocol will provide a structure and framework for the synthesis of the literature for the continuity of essential health services more broadly beyond HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, and malaria.

Limitations

The main limitation of the review will be the exclusion of studies conducted in languages other than English. In addition, the review will include only studies publishing information about interventions implemented during public health emergencies of international concern. However, a public health emergency need not be declared to be of international concern to cause disruption of access and delivery of HIV, tuberculosis, and malaria services. Nonetheless, the identified interventions will be transferrable to contexts in which an emergency is local and does not pose a threat to the international community. In addition, the development of the protocol followed the PRISMA-P (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis Protocols) checklist (Multimedia Appendix 3).

Conclusions

The findings will inform the development of national and global guidance to minimize disruption of services for patients with HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, and malaria during public health emergencies. The protocol will also inform studies conducted to synthesize interventions to maintain other health programs beyond HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, and malaria.



Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Emma-Lotta Säätelä and Love Strandberg at Karolinska Institutet's University Library for the technical and administrative support during the process of conducting and refining the literature search from the various databases.

Authors' Contributions

SNK, RKW, and TA were involved in idea conceptualization. RKW, HL, NR, JMS, and TA were involved in the review of technical content and supervision. SNK wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

Multimedia Appendix 1

Search strategy.

[PDF File (Adobe PDF File), 209 KB-Multimedia Appendix 1]

Multimedia Appendix 2

Data Abstraction Form.

[DOCX File, 31 KB-Multimedia Appendix 2]

Multimedia Appendix 3

Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) checklist. [PDF File (Adobe PDF File), 131 KB-Multimedia Appendix 3]

References

- 1. Khan H, Vasilescu LG, Khan A. Disaster management cycle—a theoretical approach. J Mark Manag. 2008;6(1):43-50. [FREE Full text]
- 2. Joint external evaluation tool: International Health Regulations (2005) —third edition. World Health Organization. 2018. URL: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240051980 [accessed 2024-12-27]
- 3. Cameron E, Nuzzo J, Bell J, Nalabandian M, O'Brien J, League A. Global Health Security Index. Washington, DC. Nuclear Threat Initiative: 2019.
- 4. Kruk ME, Ling EJ, Bitton A, Cammett M, Cavanaugh K, Chopra M, et al. Building resilient health systems: a proposal for a resilience index. BMJ. 2017;357:j2323. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/bmj.j2323] [Medline: 28536191]
- 5. Oppenheim B, Gallivan M, Madhav NK, Brown N, Serhiyenko V, Wolfe ND, et al. Assessing global preparedness for the next pandemic: development and application of an Epidemic Preparedness Index. BMJ Glob Health. 2019;4(1):e001157. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2018-001157] [Medline: 30775006]
- 6. Sirleaf EJ, Clark H. Report of the independent panel for pandemic preparedness and response: making COVID-19 the last pandemic. Lancet. 2021;398(10295):101-103. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01095-3] [Medline: 33991477]
- 7. Smith J. Overcoming the 'tyranny of the urgent': integrating gender into disease outbreak preparedness and response. Gender Dev. 2019;27(2):355-369. [doi: 10.1080/13552074.2019.1615288]
- 8. Khetrapal Singh P, Jhalani M. Safeguarding essential health services during emergencies: lessons learnt from the COVID-19 pandemic. WHO South East Asia J Public Health. 2020;9(2):93-94. [doi: 10.4103/2224-3151.293433] [Medline: 32978338]
- 9. Bell BP, Damon IK, Jernigan DB, Kenyon TA, Nichol ST, O'Connor JP, et al. Overview, control strategies, and lessons learned in the CDC response to the 2014-2016 Ebola epidemic. MMWR Suppl. 2016;65(3):4-11. [doi: 10.15585/mmwr.su6503a2] [Medline: 27389903]
- 10. COVID-19: operational guidance for maintaining essential health services during an outbreak: interim guidance, 25 March 2020. World Health Organization. 2020. URL: https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/331561 [accessed 2024-12-27]
- 11. Creanga AA, Johnson TF, Graitcer SB, Hartman LK, Al-Samarrai T, Schwarz A, et al. Severity of 2009 pandemic influenza A (H1N1) virus infection in pregnant women. Obstet Gynecol. 2010;115(4):717-726. [doi: 10.1097/AOG.0b013e3181d57947] [Medline: 20308830]
- 12. Rubinson L, Mutter R, Viboud C, Hupert N, Uyeki T, Creanga A, et al. Impact of the fall 2009 influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 pandemic on US hospitals. Med Care. 2013;51(3):259-265. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1097/MLR.0b013e31827da8ea] [Medline: 23295577]
- 13. Moynihan R, Sanders S, Michaleff ZA, Scott AM, Clark J, To EJ, et al. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on utilisation of healthcare services: a systematic review. BMJ Open. 2021;11(3):e045343. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-045343] [Medline: 33727273]



- 14. SeyedAlinaghi S, Mirzapour P, Pashaei Z, Afzalian A, Tantuoyir MM, Salmani R, et al. The impacts of COVID-19 pandemic on service delivery and treatment outcomes in people living with HIV: a systematic review. AIDS Res Ther. 2023;20(1):4. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s12981-022-00496-7] [Medline: 36609313]
- 15. Jewell BL, Mudimu E, Stover J, Ten Brink D, Phillips AN, Smith JA, et al. Potential effects of disruption to HIV programmes in sub-Saharan Africa caused by COVID-19: results from multiple mathematical models. Lancet HIV. 2020;7(9):e629-e640. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/S2352-3018(20)30211-3] [Medline: 32771089]
- 16. Jewell BL, Smith JA, Hallett TB. Understanding the impact of interruptions to HIV services during the COVID-19 pandemic: a modelling study. EClinicalMedicine. 2020;26:100483. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100483] [Medline: 33089116]
- 17. Davies SE, Bennett B. A gendered human rights analysis of Ebola and Zika: locating gender in global health emergencies. Int Aff. 2016;92(5):1041-1060. [doi: 10.1111/1468-2346.12704]
- 18. Standard operating procedures for coordinating public health event preparedness and response in the WHO African Region. World Health Organization. 2014. URL: https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/112855 [accessed 2024-12-27]
- 19. International Health Regulations (2005): IHR monitoring and evaluation framework. World Health Organization. 2018. URL: https://extranet.who.int/sph/ihr-monitoring-evaluation [accessed 2024-12-27]
- 20. WHO International Health Regulations, 2005—IRIS. World Health Organization. 2008. URL: https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/246107/9789241580496-eng.pdf [accessed 2024-12-27]
- 21. Wilder-Smith A, Osman S. Public health emergencies of international concern: a historic overview. J Travel Med. 2020;27(8):taaa227. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1093/jtm/taaa227] [Medline: 33284964]
- 22. Loubet P, Mabileau G, Baysah M, Nuta C, Taylor M, Jusu H, et al. Likely effect of the 2014 Ebola epidemic on HIV care in Liberia. AIDS. 2015;29(17):2347-2351. [doi: 10.1097/QAD.000000000000821] [Medline: 26544705]
- 23. Kolie D, Camara BS, Delamou A, Béavogui AH, Hermans V, Edwards JK, et al. The Ebola-effect in Guinea 2014-15: tangled trends of malaria care in children under-five. PLoS One. 2018;13(2):e0192798. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0192798] [Medline: 29489836]
- 24. Parpia AS, Ndeffo-Mbah ML, Wenzel NS, Galvani AP. Effects of response to 2014-2015 Ebola outbreak on deaths from malaria, HIV/AIDS, and tuberculosis, West Africa. Emerg Infect Dis. 2016;22(3):433-441. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3201/eid2203.150977] [Medline: 26886846]
- 25. Hira S, Piot P. The counter effects of the Ebola epidemic on control and treatment of HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, and malaria in West Africa. AIDS. 2016;30(16):2555-2559. [doi: 10.1097/QAD.000000000001231] [Medline: 27525552]
- 26. Yang X, Zhang J, Chen S, Weissman S, Olatosi B, Li X. The impact of COVID-19 pandemic on the dynamic HIV care engagement among people with HIV: real-world evidence. AIDS. 2023;37(6):951-956. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1097/QAD.00000000003491] [Medline: 36723502]
- 27. Lopez AD, Mathers CD. Measuring the global burden of disease and epidemiological transitions: 2002-2030. Ann Trop Med Parasitol. 2006;100(5-6):481-499. [doi: 10.1179/136485906X97417] [Medline: 16899150]
- 28. Sahoo KC, Negi S, Patel K, Mishra B, Palo S, Pati S. Challenges in maternal and child health services delivery and access during pandemics or public health disasters in low-and middle-income countries: a systematic review. Healthcare. 2021;9(7):828. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3390/healthcare9070828] [Medline: 34209238]
- 29. Palo SK, Dubey S, Negi S, Sahay MR, Patel K, Swain S, et al. Effective interventions to ensure MCH (Maternal and Child Health) services during pandemic related health emergencies (Zika, Ebola, and COVID-19): a systematic review. PLoS One. 2022;17(5):e0268106. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0268106] [Medline: 35536838]
- 30. Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, et al. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Hoboken, NJ. John Wiley & Sons; 2019.
- 31. Ross DA, Smith PG, Morrow RH. Types of intervention and their development. In: Field Trials of Health Interventions, 3rd Edition. New York, NY. Oxford University Press; 2015.
- 32. World Health Organization. Monitoring the Building Blocks of Health Systems: A Handbook of Indicators and Their Measurement Strategies. Geneva, Switzerland. World Health Organization; 2010.
- 33. Kay ES, Batey DS, Mugavero MJ. The HIV treatment cascade and care continuum: updates, goals, and recommendations for the future. AIDS Res Ther. 2016;13:35. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s12981-016-0120-0] [Medline: 27826353]
- 34. Subbaraman R, Nathavitharana RR, Mayer KH, Satyanarayana S, Chadha VK, Arinaminpathy N, et al. Constructing care cascades for active tuberculosis: a strategy for program monitoring and identifying gaps in quality of care. PLoS Med. 2019;16(2):e1002754. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1002754] [Medline: 30811385]
- 35. WHO guidelines for malaria. World Health Organization. 2022. URL: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/guidelines-for-malaria [accessed 2024-12-27]
- 36. Fantom NJ, Serajuddin U. The World Bank's classification of countries by income. Policy Res Work Paper. 2016:7528. [FREE Full text]
- 37. Ouzzani M, Hammady H, Fedorowicz Z, Elmagarmid A. Rayyan—a web and mobile app for systematic reviews. Syst Rev. 2016;5(1):210. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s13643-016-0384-4] [Medline: 27919275]
- 38. Lewin S, Bohren M, Rashidian A, Munthe-Kaas H, Glenton C, Colvin CJ, et al. Applying GRADE-CERQual to qualitative evidence synthesis findings—paper 2: how to make an overall CERQual assessment of confidence and create a summary



of qualitative findings table. Implement Sci. 2018;13:10. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s13012-017-0689-2] [Medline: 29384082]

39. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS Med. 2009;6(7):e1000097. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097] [Medline: 19621072]

Abbreviations

ART: antiretroviral therapy

CERQual: Confidence in Evidence from Reviews of Qualitative Research

GRADE: Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation **PRISMA:** Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses

PRISMA-P: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis Protocols

PROSPERO: International Register for Prospective Systematic Reviews

WHO: World Health Organization

Edited by A Schwartz; submitted 15.07.24; peer-reviewed by HM Chun, N Ryan; comments to author 08.08.24; revised version received 20.08.24; accepted 12.12.24; published 15.01.25

Please cite as:

Kabwama SN, Wanyenze RK, Lindgren H, Razaz N, Ssenkusu JM, Alfvén T

Interventions to Maintain HIV/AIDS, Tuberculosis, and Malaria Service Delivery During Public Health Emergencies in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: Protocol for a Systematic Review

JMIR Res Protoc 2025;14:e64316

URL: https://www.researchprotocols.org/2025/1/e64316

doi: 10.2196/64316

PMID:

©Steven Ndugwa Kabwama, Rhoda K. Wanyenze, Helena Lindgren, Neda Razaz, John M Ssenkusu, Tobias Alfvén. Originally published in JMIR Research Protocols (https://www.researchprotocols.org), 15.01.2025. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR Research Protocols, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on https://www.researchprotocols.org, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.

